

Your offer to contract is hereby rejected!

September 3, 2014

The Clerk of the Court
THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
825 West Fourth Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Michelle L. Boutin
RCO- LEGAL-ALASKA, INC.
900 West 8th Avenue, Suite 200
Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Sirs:

We are in receipt of your latest offer and are returning it within 72 hours. It does not concern us and never did: "Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them."

S.C.R. 1795, Penhallow v. Doane's Administraters (3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54)

We have made it abundantly clear to you since the very beginning of these discussions that we are living flesh and separate from all legal fictions merely named after us. We presented an Ecclesiastical Deed Poll carrying a blood seal and a sworn and sealed statement of living Witnesses as to our identities---irrefutable proof that we are alive, and that we are who we say we are, sealed upon the record.

We, living American State Citizens, are owed every jot of The Treaty of Westminster (1794) promising us friendship and amity and protection "in perpetuity" from the City-State of Westminster and every member of the Bar Associations worldwide.

"Judge" Olson claimed that his jurisdiction derived from "the de jure Constitution of the State of Alaska". When challenged to prove that such a document exists and that it established dominion over us, we were met with dead silence. We later carried the question to the Alaska Judicial Council. More silence. After more than a year of such behavior on the part of the COURT and its advisors, we consider the matter closed. There is no such document or dominion.

"Judge" Olson also claimed that his jurisdiction was statutory in nature. Challenged to prove that such a "statutory" jurisdiction exists and that it applies to us and our private property, we were met with more dead silence. This, too, was offered to the Alaska Judicial Council without response or rebuttal, and again, we consider the matter closed. There is no statutory jurisdiction that applies to us. As the name implies, "statutory jurisdiction" applies to legal fiction entities created by statute.

We have adopted the practice of referring to "Judge" Olson, because in fact he has not functioned as a judge and he admitted this by claiming to operate a statutory jurisdiction. Judges do not administer statutes and codes. Executive Administrators administer statutes and codes.

Furthermore, there have been no "judicial powers" available on the land of the actual states since 1789. See *FRC v GE* 281US 464, *Keller v PE* 261 US 428, and US Statute at Large 1, 138-178.

He further admitted his lack of standing as a judge when he declared in open hearings that he was not acting as a Trustee. According to the corporate "Constitution of the United States of America" all public officials are trustees, and refusal of this office can only indicate that "Judge" Olson was in fact operating in a private non-judicial capacity as an executive administrator running an in-house corporate tribunal. He exercised no more authority over us and our private property than a "judge"

employed by SEARS to settle in-house administrative disputes has over the general public.

We note in passing that it was never "Judge" Olson's job to prove his jurisdiction in any event. It was Michelle L. Boutin's job as the moving party to prove the COURT's jurisdiction, which she never even attempted to do, beyond an ambiguous and totally unsupported statement alleging that some otherwise unidentified party was a "resident" of Alaska.

In fact, the jurisdiction of the "State" Courts derives from the 14th Amendment and is a "territorial" jurisdiction of "federal" States which applies only to US citizens "residing" in the actual physical states of the Union.

Taken together with the foregoing, the question then arises--- are we "US citizens"? We explored that question, too. Were we born in a Federal Enclave? No. Did we voluntarily undergo the Naturalization process mandated by 2 US Statute at Large 153, Chapter 28, subsection 1, otherwise known as Revised Statute 2561? No. Are we employed by the federal government in any capacity civil or military? No. Are we foreign welfare recipients? No. Are we African Americans who were denied State Citizenship at the close of the Civil War, so as to expedite repugnant claims by the United States, Inc. claiming them as chattel backing US government debt? No. Are we legal fiction entities incorporated under the auspices of the United States of America (Minor)? No. There is no territorial jurisdiction available to the "State" Courts related to us.

The only other jurisdiction available to the STATE COURTS is international jurisdiction, which applies only to US CITIZENS.

So, again, are we "US CITIZENS"?

Were we created by Washington DC Municipal Statute, Chapter 2, Vital Statistics, Section 7-201, paragraph 10? No. Are we owned and operated by the UNITED NATIONS doing business as the INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND doing business as the UNITED STATES doing business as the US DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION? No. Are we legal fiction entities of any kind, sort, or description created by any other corporate entity whatsoever? No. We are not US CITIZENS.

It is abundantly clear and always has been that we and our private property are not subject to any jurisdiction possessed by either the State Courts or the STATE COURTS, and we have always properly objected that this is so without rebuttal.

At the end of the day, "Judge" Olson committed fatal errors, and faced with armed mercenaries hired by Michelle L. Boutin, we complied but did not consent to any jurisdiction presented.

So if the Court had no jurisdiction and the COURT had no jurisdiction, precisely what do all of you think you are doing and to whom or what are you addressing all your complaints?

The actual Congress of the united States of America ceased functioning on March 28, 1861 when it adjourned sine die for lack of quorum. As a stop-gap, Lincoln formed The United States, Incorporated, and installed the remaining members of Congress as a Board of Directors. It was at this juncture that the "federal corporation" recorded at 28 USC 3002 15 (A) came into being.

Acting in 1862, this "Congress" changed the meaning of a single word. That word is "person". For the purposes of their private, for-profit governmental services corporation, they redefined the word "person" to mean "corporation". See 37th Congress, Second Session, Chapter 119, Section 68 -- "Manufactures, Articles, and Products".

In 1868, the United States Corporation published its Articles and By-Laws as the Constitution of the United States of America. That document included the 14th Amendment proclaimed by Secretary of State Seward. It was this document which established the existence of private "federal" States---corporate franchises of the United States Corporation. This sound-alike, look-alike

"Constitution" and its 14th Amendment created a different citizenship, a different jurisdiction, and a different government.

This was and is a uniquely foreign and corporate jurisdiction with respect to the landed (E)states and its inhabitants known as State Citizens. The corporation self-interestedly presumed that everyone wanted to be redefined as a "US citizen", but as no mere corporation has the power to redefine the sovereign status of a nation or its people, 15 Statute at Large Chapter 249 Section 1 "Acts Concerning American Citizens in a Foreign State" was adopted to preserve the legality of the action.

This was on the face of it a profoundly improper claim made by a commercial corporation against its employers, especially as this same corporation pretended to "represent" the lawful government. Note the words of The Pledge of Allegiance: "and to the Republic, for which it stands." This fiduciary trust fraud based on semantic deceit and the use of deceptively similar names was the basis for all that has come after, and as can be readily proven, gave rise to the creation of the classes of "US citizen" subject to the territorial jurisdiction of the "State" Courts.

It is to these federal "State Courts" and presumed "US citizens" that Ms. Boutin has been addressing all her complaints at the State level.

The actual Constitution establishing the relationship of the federal government to the landed (E)states always allowed Congress to operate two governments. Congress functioned as the legislative branch of the republican government owed to the Several States, and, at Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17, was allowed plenary control over the District of Columbia.

With the Act of 1871 the corporate Congress began the formation of the Washington DC Municipal Government and the process that ultimately resulted in the creation of "US CITIZENS".

It is to these STATE COURTS and presumed "US CITIZENS" that Ms. Boutin has been addressing all her complaints at the STATE level.

Our point to you all is that none of these legal fiction entities have a thing to do with us or our real property. We are expatriated with respect to any corporate "citizenship" conferred upon us by the action of other people merely claiming to represent us. We claimed and recorded our claim of remedy preserved at UCC 1-308 as of 1995 and we restated our claim--- which includes our Common Law right not to be bound by any contract that is unilateral, inequitable, undisclosed, not in-kind, tainted by fraud, created by others merely claiming to "represent" us, or deemed to exist based on our receipt of any compelled benefit or fruit of monopoly inducement---at the very outset of our discussion with Roswell Properties, L.L.C., LTD.

We not only claimed our remedy, we prosecuted an entire Due Process Notary Presentment which resulted in Declaratory Judgment against Roswell Properties, L.L.C., LTD. as of June 20, 2012.

If any entity operating as "Roswell Properties" at 100 North Center Street, Newton Falls, Ohio, had any valid claim against us or our property, they were given full Due Process and opportunity to state their claims before an Officer of the Court operating in the proper jurisdiction ---and they failed to do so.

That action established permanent estoppels and res judicata.

As we recently informed The Clerk of the Supreme Court and the US District Attorney, there are now upwards of a dozen legal fiction entities running around claiming to do business "in our name", all created by various private corporations operating as "states" and foreign governments all claiming to "represent" us, and we do not propose to allow this process of systematic identity theft and practice of personage by private commercial corporations to defraud us.

The most recent entry into this melee in commerce is the UNITED NATIONS Corporation operating under the auspices of the United Nations City State---a foreign government with respect to us---which has created

transmitting utilities doing business under similar names using only a middle initial as an identifier---for example, “James C. Belcher”. These names---all of them---are not even legal names, as they are all non-specific.

What is or should be clear to everyone involved is that this madness has to end.

“personage” --is the crime of mischaracterizing persons for fraudulent purposes---for example: (1) deliberately confusing the ELIZABETH ARDEN corporation with a woman of the same name to promote credit fraud, or (2) impersonating public officials so as to exercise their office for private advantage.

Ms. Boutin and her clients are guilty on the face of it of both personage and barratry---knowingly bringing false claims before a COURT of incompetent jurisdiction. “Judge” Olson is similarly guilty of impersonating a public official, a real judge, while acting as a private corporate executive administrator. And everyone involved is guilty of fiduciary trust fraud as well, as they have pretended to represent the lawful government owed to us or agencies thereof.

Beginning in 2010 we moved to exercise our reversionary trust interest in the “federal” ESTATES and federal “State” trusts established “in our behalf” howbeit without our knowledge or consent. See Title 12, Section 95a and especially the subsection (B)(2):

(2) Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property or interest therein, made to or for the account of the United States, or as otherwise directed, pursuant to this section or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued hereunder shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect to anything done or omitted in good faith in connection with the administration of, or in pursuance of and in reliance on, this section, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued hereunder.

This remedy is further preserved at UCC 9-314 and 9-104.

Every living American has a “federal” State trust established “in their name” as a result of fiduciary trust fraud committed by the “US Congress” operating as a Board of Directors of the United States, Inc. in 1868. This entity uses the given name of an American State Citizen and operates under a name styled in upper and lower case: John Quincy Adams.

Every living American also has a “federal” ESTATE trust established “in their name” as a result of fiduciary trust fraud committed by the Roosevelt Administration and the Conference of Governors operating yet another corporate franchise doing business as the United States of America, Inc. in 1933. These ESTATES operate under names styled in all capital letters and include the middle given name of the victim, as in: JOHN QUINCY ADAMS. These were all removed to Puerto Rico under the jurisdiction of the United States of America (Minor)---a consortium of “American” states more often thought of as “federal territories and possessions”. The perpetrators are now in the process of trying to redefine these ESTATE trusts as transmitting utilities operated under the auspices of the United Nations City-State and operating them under Names/NAMES styled using only middle initials: John Q. Adams and JOHN Q. ADAMS.

Note that Ms. Boutin is now attempting to address these entities in her most recent effort to defraud: JAMES C. BELCHER and ANNA M. RIEZINGER-VON REITZ are named as “Defendants”.

Also note that there is absolutely no statute of limitations which applies to fiduciary trust fraud. It doesn't matter if it happened in 1862 or yesterday. It taints and invalidates every authority, contract, and claim based upon it.

Finally note that there is potentially no end to the fraud and graft available from allowing this practice of identity theft and personage to continue. Every foreign government and every commercial corporation on earth can theoretically seize control of any given name of any individual, claim to “represent” them based on some form of contract real or imagined, trump up charges against “them” and use this as a device to bring false claims against real people and real property.

We have already filed our UCC-1 paperwork as priority secured third party creditors of ALL these foreign entities and exercising our reversionary trust interest, have reiterated our expatriation by Act of State, and given Notice. We have disclaimed all “charitable” benefits related to the legal fictions operated in our names and claimed our remedies. Now we are in the process of bringing claim against “ROSWELL PROPERTIES” in true international jurisdiction and Ms. Boutin is begging the COURT to “invalidate” our filing under “Alaska Statutes”.

The COURT had better study the actual document 2014-787018-8, which shows that it was filed by

“anna-maria: riezinger”---an appellation denoting the actual living woman, as the COURT has already been informed, not any federal “State” entities operating as “Anna Maria Riezinger” or “James C. Belcher” as Ms. Boutin claims.

The COURT should also note that its “sister COURT” doing business as THE SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA is named as a DEBTOR in the same action along with ROSWELL PROPERTIES, L.L.C. LLD., and that any action aiding or abetting the absconding DEBTORS will be cause to name THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA as a DEBTOR, too.

The STATE OF ALASKA has already declared itself bound by the Uniform Commercial Code and neither it nor the COURT have any authority to selectively decide whether they operate under the Uniform Commercial Code or not. Nor do these corporate entities have any ability to deny or “invalidate” a commercial affidavit that is “not a point of law”.

All these various entities named as DEBTORS are in fact DEBTORS of the living Americans, as proven by the 1934 Bankruptcy Act, Section 101(11). We, the living American State Citizens, are the principals to all financial transactions; all corporations and corporate officers are agents without recourse.

As long as Ms. Boutin is “becoming aware of” our filings in international venues, she and the COURT should become aware of those filings that decisively extracted our estates from their jurisdiction years ago and should return our private property free and clear of debt or encumbrance and titles established under color of law, together with the compensation that is merely and justly owed to us for our trouble.

To expedite a fair knowledge of these issues for the Clerk we are including copies of the actual filing Ms. Boutin is referring to for inspection and true copies of 2014-785582-1 and 2014-785581-9 which underlie it.

We are also providing the Clerk with copies of 2013-765902-5 and its Amendment 2014-785584-5 which are the Alaska property claims against already established and cured prior claims recorded in Maryland as Initial Financing Statement #0000000181425776. Please note when examining this completely cured claim that page 2 of 2013-765902-5 is legal tender for all debts and that the only “State of Alaska” competent to receive “real men” with “hands and legs” is the organic state. Plus the claim 2013-765907-5, which returns all the above to the priority secured party creditor, the living woman.

Completely corresponding claims are also on file for James Clinton Belcher – 2013-765904-9 and its Amendment 2014-785583-3, plus 2013-765905-1.

All these claims going back to 2011 are fully cured and executed. We, the living American State Citizens, have exercised our reversionary trust interest and we gave Ms. Boutin, the COURT(S), and ROSWELL PROPERTIES full notification.

The Clerk did not present us with the bonds related to the case(s) nor a payment voucher related to them. The “Judge” was told that we claimed our exemption in favor of the DEFENDANTS and wished to discharge any such “debt” held against these ESTATES in open COURT and he denied it, thereby removing any immunity under 42 USC 1981, 1982, and 1984.

We timely requested our just and guaranteed remedy and were denied. We suffered armed extortion at the hands of Michelle L. Boutin, an Officer of the Court and member of the Bar Association, and the loss of over \$100,000.00 worth of private credit under conditions of personage, barratry, and false pretenses. See 18 USC 1025:

Whoever, upon any waters or vessel within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, by any fraud, or false pretense, obtains from any person anything of value, or procures the execution and delivery of any instrument of writing or conveyance of real or personal property, or the signature of any person, as maker, endorser, or guarantor, to or upon any bond, bill, receipt, promissory note, draft, or check, or any other evidence of indebtedness, or fraudulently sells, barter, or disposes of any bond, bill, receipt, promissory note, draft, or check, or other evidence of indebtedness, for value, knowing the same to be worthless, or knowing the signature of the maker, endorser, or guarantor thereof to have been obtained by any false pretenses, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both; but if the amount, value or the face value of anything so obtained does not exceed \$1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

The “State” Court should, if it wishes to have any credibility whatsoever, be addressing the dishonorable, deceitful, and outright criminal misconduct that is ongoing and endemic with respect to the operation of its “Judges” and Officers, and should apply the rules

of the corporate United States, its employer, to its own operations.

Also for the Clerk's information we are enclosing a copy of a letter to the Clerk of the Supreme Court which details the actual circumstance surrounding the mortgage discussed as the initial “cause”. Like the “de jure Constitution of the State of Alaska” and “statutory law”-- no “mortgage” ever existed.

Any “mortgage” related to the real property discussed throughout 3AN-12-6858CI was paid off on the day of closing as mandated by Public Policy of the United States, Inc., and our own falsely probated ESTATES have held the property free and clear ever since. The unilateral contract that Ms. Boutin has sought to enforce against our Estates/ESTATES and now against transmitting utilities operated by the UN, was and is defective for all the reasons we claimed remedy to in behalf of these entities---unilateral, inequitable, tainted by fraud, created by others merely claiming to “represent” them, and deemed to exist as the result of compelled benefit or fruit of monopoly inducement---specifically the use of “Federal Reserve Notes” imposed by United States, Inc.

As the initial cause of action was tainted by fraud and deceit and defective in all these respects, no subsequent action or claim of indebtedness could ever be justified. What is justified is what is demanded: return of our misappropriated credit and compensation in redemption owed by the DEBTORS to the principals, based on claims that are cured, decided, and irrefutable in the actual and proper jurisdiction and which have always been clearly stated and which were placed before THE SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA as of June 20, 2012.

We trust that “THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA” will not presume to have any authority over living people who are not “US citizens” nor “US CITIZENS”, much less any authority to prevent us from filing commercial affidavits or to declare our affidavits “invalid”.

Sincerely,

non-negotiable autograph, all rights reserved.
c/o box 520994
big lake, alaska [99652]

cc:
Alaska State Attorney General
Michael C. Geraghty
Box 110300
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Roman J. Kalytiak, District Attorney
Palmer State Office Building
515 E. Dahlia Street, Suite 150
Palmer, AK 99645-6416

Arnie Rosner

Available 24/7 -
arnie@arnierosner.com
Http://scannedretina.com
714-964-4056
714-501-8247 - mobile